EXHIBIT 1

Exhibit 1

Case Information

1-21-1357 | Joe Cotton Vs The Kroger Co. And John Doe

Case Number Court
1-21-1357 382nd District Court
File Date Case Type
10/01/2021 Injury/Damage - Other

Injury or Damage

Judicial Officer Hall, Brett Case Status Filed

Party

Plaintiff Cotton, Joe Active Attorneys ▼
Lead Attorney
Robelen, Scott G.
Retained

Defendant
The Kroger Co.

Address
By Serving Its Registered Agent, Corporation
Service Company D/B
CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service Co.
211 E. 7th Street Suite 620

Active Attorneys ▼ Lead Attorney Briscoe, B. Kyle Retained

Events and Hearings

Gase ର 24 ngMaP2 ମଧ୍ୟ Noc Document 1-2 Filed 11/02/21	Page 3 of 19	PageID 12
10/01/2021 Letter		
10/01/2021 Petition		
10/04/2021 Citation		
10/04/2021 Citation ▼		
10/28/2021 Answer		
10/28/2021 Process Return		
11/01/2021 Citation ▼		

Financial

Cotton, Joe

Total Financial Assessment \$300.00
Total Payments and Credits \$300.00

10/1/2021 Transaction \$300.00 Assessment

10/1/2021 eFile Payment Receipt # DC- Cotton, (\$300.00) 2021-05467 Joe

EXHIBIT 2

Exhibit 2



Notice of Service of Process

RFM / ALL

Transmittal Number: 23911900 **Date Processed: 10/13/2021**

Primary Contact: Venessa C. Wickline Gribble

The Kroger Co. 1014 Vine Street

Cincinnati, OH 45202-1100

Entity: The Kroger Co.

Entity ID Number 2171751

Entity Served: The Kroger Co.

Title of Action: Joe Cotton vs. The Kroger Co.

Matter Name/ID: Joe Cotton vs. The Kroger Co. (11641724)

Document(s) Type: Citation/Petition Nature of Action: Personal Injury

Court/Agency: Rockwall County District Court, TX

Case/Reference No: 1-21-1357 **Jurisdiction Served:** Texas **Date Served on CSC:** 10/12/2021

Answer or Appearance Due: 10:00 am Monday next following the expiration of 20 days after service

Originally Served On: CSC

How Served: Certified Mail Sender Information: Scott G. Robelen

214-252-9099

Information contained on this transmittal form is for record keeping, notification and forwarding the attached document(s). It does not constitute a legal opinion. The recipient is responsible for interpreting the documents and taking appropriate action.

To avoid potential delay, please do not send your response to CSC

251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, Delaware 19808-1674 (888) 690-2882 | sop@cscglobal.com

5 psc14 w MAILED 10.6.21

CITATION

CLERK OF THE COURT

Lea Carlson District Clerk, Rockwall County 1111 Yellowjacket Ln. Ste 200 Rockwall, Texas 75087 Attorney for the Plaintiff

Scott G. Robelen 2777 North Stemmons Frwy Dallas TX 75207

1-21-1357

THE STATE OF TEXAS

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: "You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 A.M. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you. In addition to filing a written answer with the clerk, you may be required to make initial disclosures to the other parties of this suit. These disclosures generally must be made no later than 30 days after you file your answer with the clerk. Find out more at TexasLawHelp.org."

TO: The Kroger Co.

By Serving Its Registered Agent Corporation Service Company D/B

CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service Co

211 E 7th Street Suite 620

Austin TX 78701

GREETINGS: You are hereby commanded to appear before the 382nd District Court of Rockwall County, Texas, at the Rockwall County Courthouse, 1111 E. Yellowjacket Lane, Suite 200, in Rockwall, Texas by filing a written answer to Plaintiff's **ORIGINAL PETITION** at or before 10:00 A.M. of the Monday next after the expiration of 20 days after the date of service hereof, a copy of which accompanies this citation, in cause number 1-21-1357, styled Joe Cotton Vs The Kroger Co. And John Doe.

Said **ORIGINAL PETITION** was filed in the 382nd District Court on OCTOBER 1, 2021

ISSUED AND GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL of Court at office on this the 4th day of October, 2021

Lea Carlson, District Clerk Rockwall County, Texas

By:

Stephanie Manskey, Deputy Clerk

Defendant Copy

OFFICER'S RETURN

211 E 7th Street Suite 620 Austin TX 78701			
	lay of, 20	, at, o'c	lockm., and executed in defendants in person, a true copy of this
<u> </u>	County, Texas by delivering to e	ach of the within named	defendants in person, a true copy of this
the following times and place		with the accompanying	copy of the ORIGINAL PETITION at
Name	Date/Time	Place, Course and	Distance from Courthouse
And not executed as to the def	endant(s),		
The diligence used in finding	said defendant(s) being:		
And the cause or failure to exe	ecute this process is:		······································
And the information received	as to the whereabouts of said defen	dant(s) being:	······································
FEES: Serving Petition and Copy \$_ Total: \$_		By:	, Officer/Constable/Clerk, Printed Name, County, Texas, Deputy, Affiant
COMPLETE IF YOU ARE	A PERSON OTHER THAN A S	HERIFF, CONSTABLE	, OR CLERK OF THE COURT.
return is signed by a person of under the penalty of perjury.	her than a sheriff, constable, or the	clerk of the court, the ret f perjury must contain the	serve, a citation shall sign the return. If the urn must either be verified or be signed statement below in substantially the, and my address is
(Street, City, Zip)		-	·
I DECLARE UNDER PENAI	LTY OF PERJURY THAT THE F	ORGOING IS TRUE AN	D CORRECT.
Executed in	County, State of	, on the	day of
		Declaran	t/Authorized Process Server
		(Id # & e	xpiration of certification)

Filed: 10/1/2021 10:13 AM Lea Carlson, District Clerk Rockwall County, Texas Stephanie Manskey

CAUSE NO	1-21-1357
JOE COTTON,	§ IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	Rockwall County - 382nd District Court
V.	§ JUDICIAL DISTRICT
THE KROGER CO. AND JOHN DOE, Defendant.	<pre> § § ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS</pre>

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, JOE COTTEN, hereinafter called Plaintiff, complaining of and about The Kroger Co. and John Doe, hereinafter called Defendants, and for cause of action shows unto the Court the following:

I. <u>DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN LEVEL</u>

Plaintiff seeks damages in an amount that is within the jurisdictional limits of the Court and monetary compensation over \$250,000.00 but less than \$1,000,000.00 Plaintiff intends to conduct discovery under Level 3 of Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 190.3.

II. PARTIES AND SERVICE

Plaintiff, JOE COTTON, is an individual who is a resident of Dallas County, Texas. The last three digits of the Plaintiff's driver's license number are 123. The last three digits of the social security number for the Plaintiff are 856.

Defendant, The Kroger Co., is a company doing business in Texas, located at 1950 Goliad St., Rockwall, Texas, and may be served with process by serving its registered agent: Corporation Service Company d/b/a CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service Company at the Registered Office Street Address: 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Tx 78701. Service of said Defendant as described above can be perfected by personal delivery or certified mail. <u>Issuance</u> of citation is requested at this time.

Defendant John Doe was, at all times relevant hereto, an employee of Defendant The Kroger Co. and acting within the course and scope of such employment. Upon information and belief, John Doe is a resident of the State of Texas and can be served wherever he may be found.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter, and the amount in controversy is within the jurisdictional limits of this court. This court has jurisdiction over the parties, and all or a substantial part of the events occurred in this county. Venue in Rockwall County is proper in this cause.

IV. FACTS

At all times material hereto, Defendant, The Kroger Co. "Defendant Kroger", was the owner/operator of the premises located at 1950 Goliad St., Rockwall, Texas. At that same place and time, John Doe "Defendant Doe" was employed by Defendant Kroger. On or about June 26, 2020, Plaintiff entered upon said premises as an invitee for the purpose of delivering merchandise to Defendant Kroger. During the time that Plaintiff was upon Defendant Kroger's property, Plaintiff was seriously injured as a result of a dangerous condition that existed. Specifically, Plaintiff fell over a pallet placed on the floor in his path by Defendant Doe. Plaintiff's fall on the pallet negligently left on the floor by Defendant Doe in Defendant Kroger's store was the proximate cause of the damages and injuries complained of in this petition in which Defendants Kroger and Doe, knew or, in the exercise of ordinary care, should have known existed.

V. <u>LIABILITY OF DEFENDANT</u>

At all times mentioned herein, Defendants, The Kroger Co and John Doe, had such control over the premises in question that Defendants, Kroger and Doe, owed certain duties to Plaintiff, the breach of which proximately caused the injuries set forth herein.

Defendants Kroger and Doe, Defendant Kroger's agents, servants, and employees negligently permitted the floor to become dangerous, negligently or willfully allowed such condition to continue and negligently or willfully failed to warn Plaintiff of the unreasonably unsafe condition presented by the pallet. This condition existed despite the fact that Defendants, Kroger and Doe, and/or Defendant Kroger's agents knew or should have known of the existence of the aforementioned condition and that there was likelihood of a person being injured as occurred to Plaintiff.

When Defendant Doe placed the pallet into the path of the Plaintiff, he did so in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant Kroger, thereby making Defendant Kroger liable for Defendant Doe's negligent conduct.

VI. PROXIMATE CAUSE

Each and every, all and singular of the foregoing acts and omissions, on the part of Defendants, taken separately and/or collectively, constitute a direct and proximate cause of the injuries and damages set forth below.

VII. DAMAGES FOR PLAINTIFF

As a direct and proximate result of the occurrence made the basis of this lawsuit, Plaintiff, JOE COTTEN, was caused to suffer severe bodily injuries and to incur some or all of the following damages:

- A. Reasonable medical care and expenses in the past. These expenses were incurred by Plaintiff, JOE COTTEN, for the necessary care and treatment of the injuries resulting from the incident complained of herein and such charges are reasonable and were usual and customary charges for such services in Dallas County, Texas;
- B. Reasonable and necessary medical care and expenses which will in all reasonable probability be incurred in the future;
- C. Physical pain and suffering in the past and future;
- D. Impairment in the past and future;

- E. Mental anguish in the past and future;
- F. Disfigurement;
- G. Lost wages past and future; and,
- H. Loss of earning capacity past and future.

VIII. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff respectfully prays that the Defendants be cited to appear and answer and that upon a final hearing of the cause, judgment be entered for the Plaintiff against Defendants for some or all of the following damages:

- a) Physical pain in the past and future;
- b) Mental anguish in the past and future;
- c) Disfigurement in the past and future;
- d) Physical impairment in the past and future;
- e) Medical expenses in the past and future;
- f) Loss of earning capacity in the past and future;
- g) Lost wages;
- h) Costs of suit;
- i) Prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- j) All other and further relief, in law and in equity, to which Plaintiff may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

BAILEY & GALYEN
2777 North Stemmons Frwy
Dallas, TX 75207
214-252-9099 - Office
214-520-9941 - Facsimile
srobelen@galyen.com - Email
dallaslit@galyen.com - E-Service Only

By: /s/ Scott G. Robelen

Scott G. Robelen

Texas State Bar No.: 16990045

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

EXHIBIT 3

Exhibit 3

Page 14 of Filed: 10/28/2021 3:34 PM
Lange 14 of Lange ID 23
District Clerk

Rockwall County, Texas
Alva Caraway

CAUSE NO. 1-21-1357

JOE COTTON,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	382 nd JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	§	
THE KROGER CO. AND JOHN DOE	§	
Defendants.	§	ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS

DEFENDANT'S ORIGINAL ANSWER AND VERIFIED DENIAL

COMES NOW, Defendant The Kroger Co." and files this Original Answer and respectfully shows the Court as follows:

I. GENERAL DENIAL

Defendant denies each and every material allegation contained in Plaintiff's Original Petition, demands strict proof thereof, and to the extent that such matters are questions of fact, says Plaintiff should prove such facts by a preponderance of the evidence to a jury if he can so do.

II. VERIFIED DENIAL

By way of verified denial pursuant to Rule 93 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to recover from The Kroger Co. in the capacity in which this entity has been sued. Defendant denies that The Kroger Co. owned or operated the store at issue at any relevant time. Consequently, Plaintiff has no right or potential right of recovery against The Kroger Co. because the proper party has not been sued. *See, e.g., Ray Malooly Trust v. Juhl*, 186 S.W.3d 568, 571 (Tex. 2006).

III. DEFENSES

- Defendant specifically and expressly denies that Plaintiff was an invitee at the time of the incident made the basis of this lawsuit.
- 2. Defendant had neither actual nor constructive knowledge of the condition about which Plaintiff complains, and further asserts that, in any event, the alleged hazard was not "unreasonably dangerous."
- 3. Plaintiff's damages or injuries, if any, were caused by the acts of third persons not under the control of Defendant. Such acts or omissions of said third persons were the sole and/or a producing and/or a proximate and/or an intervening and/or a supervening cause of Plaintiff's damages or injuries, if any.
- 4. The alleged premises condition of which Plaintiff complains was already appreciated by Plaintiff, was open and obvious, was not concealed, and/or was a known risk and, therefore, Defendant denies that it owed any duty to warn Plaintiff of the alleged premises condition or protect Plaintiff from same. *Austin v. Kroger Texas L.P.*, 465 S.W.3d 193, 203 (Tex. 2015).
- 5. Plaintiff failed to use that degree of care and caution that would have been used by a reasonable person under the same or similar circumstances, thereby producing or proximately causing or contributing to cause Plaintiff's injuries and damages, if any. Such acts or omissions of Plaintiff were the sole and/or a producing and/or a proximate and/or a supervening and/or an intervening cause of Plaintiff's damages or injuries, if any.
- 6. In the alternative, the accident complained of was an unavoidable accident, as that term is defined under Texas law.

- 7. Defendant respectfully requests that the factfinder allocate responsibility, if any, among all parties, settling parties, and responsible third parties, in accordance with Chapters 32 and 33 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code.
- 8. In the unlikely event an adverse judgment is rendered against Defendant in this matter, Defendant respectfully prays for contribution, indemnity and/or all available credits as provided for in the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code and under Texas law.
- 9. To the extent that the damages about which Plaintiff complains, if any, were the result of prior or pre-existing or subsequent injuries, accidents or conditions, said prior or pre-existing or subsequent injuries, accidents or conditions were the sole and/or a contributing cause of Plaintiff's damages alleged against Defendant.
- 10. To the extent that Plaintiff breached Plaintiff's duty to mitigate damages by failing to exercise reasonable care and diligence to avoid loss and minimize the consequences of damages, such damages are not reasonable or necessary.
- 11. To the extent that Plaintiff is malingering and/or exaggerating the nature and severity of Plaintiff's injuries in order to continue treatment, Defendant contends said treatment is not medically necessary or reasonable.
- 12. Any claims for past and future medical or health care expenses are limited to the customary and usual amounts charged for reasonable and necessary medical care and, with respect to past medical expenses, are further limited to the amounts actually paid or incurred by or on behalf of Plaintiff, pursuant to Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 41.0105.
- 13. Pursuant to Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code § 18.091, to the extent that Plaintiff is seeking a recovery for loss of earnings, lost wages, loss of earning capacity and/or

loss of contributions of pecuniary value, evidence of such alleged losses must be presented by Plaintiff in the form of a net loss after reduction for income tax payments, or unpaid tax liability to any federal income tax law.

IV. COURT REPORTER REQUESTED

Defendant respectfully demands a court reporter be present at all proceedings before the Court.

V. PRAYER

Defendant respectfully prays that Plaintiff take nothing by this cause of action, that Defendant be permitted to recover the costs expended on its behalf, and for all other and further relief, both general and special, at law and in equity, to which it may show itself to be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ B. Kyle Briscoe

B. Kyle Briscoe

State Bar No. 24069421

kbriscoe@peavlerbriscoe.com

Michael W. Stumbaugh

State Bar No. 24041987

mstumbaugh@peavlerbriscoe.com

Delaney Blakey

State Bar No. 24120457

dblakey@peavlerbriscoe.com

PEAVLER | BRISCOE

2215 Westgate Plaza

Grapevine, Texas 76051

214-999-0550 (telephone)

214-999-0551 (fax)

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

VERIFICATION

STATE OF TEXAS

§ §

§

COUNTY OF TARRANT

Before me, the undersigned authority, did personally appear Michael W. Stumbaugh, who upon his oath deposes and says that he is one of the attorneys for Defendant, that he has never been convicted of a crime, and that he is over the age of 21 and competent to make this verification. Accordingly, Mr. Stumbaugh verifies that the facts alleged in Paragraph II. Verified Denial of the foregoing pleading are true and correct.

Michael W. Stumbaugh

Subscribed and sworn to before me on this 28th day of October 2021.

ELIZABETH CONTRERAS
Notary Public, State of Texas
Comm. Expires 02-06-2024
Notary ID 129335398

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of Texas

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been forwarded to all counsel of record pursuant to and in accordance with the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure on October 28, 2021.

/s/ B. Kyle Briscoe

B. Kyle Briscoe

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Bryan Briscoe on behalf of Bryan Briscoe Bar No. 24069421 kbriscoe@peavlerbriscoe.com Envelope ID: 58640769 Status as of 10/28/2021 3:41 PM CST

Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Scott Robelen		srobelen@galyen.com	10/28/2021 3:34:08 PM	SENT
Michael Stumbaugh		mstumbaugh@peavlerbriscoe.com	10/28/2021 3:34:08 PM	SENT
Delanry Blakey		dblakey@peavlerbriscoe.com	10/28/2021 3:34:08 PM	SENT
Mark Forester		mforester@peavlerbriscoe.com	10/28/2021 3:34:08 PM	SENT
Liz Contreras		econtreras@peavlerbriscoe.com	10/28/2021 3:34:08 PM	SENT
Kyle Briscoe		kbriscoe@peavlerbriscoe.com	10/28/2021 3:34:08 PM	SENT